



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,500	09/872,500 06/01/2001		Matthew Denesuk	70961-26	2079
20915	7590	01/27/2006		EXAM	INER
MCGARRY		_	PRICE, RICHAR	D THOMAS JR	
171 MONRO SUITE 600	JE A VENI	UE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503				3643	

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/872,500	DENESUK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas Price	3643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 (</u>	October 2005.						
·							
<del>'</del> =							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	,						
Disposition of Claims							
	☐ Claim(s) 45,46 and 56-65 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· ·							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	in priority under 25 LLS C. S.	110(a) (d) or (f)					
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	in priority under 35 0.5.0. 9	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	ate have been received						
Certified copies of the priority documer      Certified copies of the priority documer		onlication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri							
application from the International Bure		received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received					
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not i	eceived.					
Attachment(s)	🗖						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

The Applicant elected claims 45, 46, 56, 58 and 61-63 with traverse is noted, however, because the Applicant did not state on the record that these species are obvious variants then the election of species is deemed proper.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 45, 46, 56, 58, 59 and 61-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 45, line 3 and 7, the terms "tough" and "effective", it is not clear what all is meant by and encompasses the these terms. See also, claim 56, lines 3 and 7.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 45, 46, 56 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimm et al (UK 2,182,857) in view of Bersted et al (US 5,945,215).

Grimm et al teach an outer textile casing formed of a tough, chew resistant material defining a shape in the form of a small article and includes a high-pile component attached to a backing material to form an artificial fleece. The material is formed in two layers sewn tighter at the edges with the high pile component outwardly.

Art Unit: 3643

However, Grimm et al do not discuss or teach the use of an effective amount of a microbe-cidal agent applied to the textile casing. Bersted et al teach antimicrobial agents applied to a textile casing. Regarding claims 45 and 56, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Grimm et al with a microbe-cidal agent, in view of the teachings of Bersted et al, in order to reduce the occurrence of bacteria on the article. In regards to claim 46, Bersted et al discuss the various types of fibers including the claimed group. As for claim 58, the specific type of microbe-cidal agent is well known and thus deemed to be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 612-63 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Summary: Claims 45, 46, 56, 58 and 61-63 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 571-272-6892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30a.m. to 3:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,500

Art Unit: 3643

Page 4

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

January 23, 2006

Trtp